

ON THE SOME PARTICULAR SETS

Özen ÖZER

Kırklareli University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Mathematics, 39100, Kırklareli, Turkey ozenozer39@gmail.com

Abstract

For t an integer, a P_t set is defined as a set of m positive integers with the property that the product of its any two distinct element increased by t is a perfect square integer.

In this study, the certain special P_{-5} , P_{+5} , P_{-7} and P_{+7} sets with size three are considered. It is demonstrated that they cannot be extended to P_{-5} , P_{+5} , P_{-7} and P_{+7} with size four. Also, some properties of them are proved.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11D45, 11A07, 11A15.

Keywords: *P*^t Sets, Congruences, Reciprocity.

BAZI ÖZEL KÜMELER ÜZERİNE

Özet

Bir *t* tamsayısı için P_t kümesi, herhangi iki tane farklı elemanının çarpımının *t* fazlası bir tamkare olma özelliğine sahip *m* tane pozitif tamsayıdan oluşan bir küme olarak tanımlanır. Bu çalışmada, üç elemanlı bazı P_{-5} , P_{+5} , P_{-7} ve P_{+7} kümeleri gözönüne alınıyor. Bu kümelerin dört elemanlı P_{-5} , P_{+5} , P_{-7} ve P_{+7} kümelerine genişletilemez olduğu gösteriliyor. Ayrıca, bu kümelerin bazı özellikleri kanıtlanıyor.

Anahtar Kelimeler: *P*_t kümeleri, Kongrüanslar, Karşıtlık.

Özen ÖZER, ozenozer39@gmail.com

On The Some Particular Sets



1. INTRODUCTION

Let *t* be an integer. A P_t-set of size m is a set $B = \{x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_m\}$ of distinct positive integers for which $x_i x_j + t$ is the square of an integer whenever $i \neq j$. If there exists a positive integer $n \notin B$ such that $B \cup \{n\}$ is still a P_t-set, then the P_t-set *B* can be extended.

The simultaneous Pell equations have been studied by most of authors like Anglin, Baker, Dickson, Mordell, Davenport, Cohn, Mohanty, Ramasamy, Pinch, Ponnudurai, Tzanakis, etc...In this topic, many authors applied Baker-Davenport method [2] provided set {1, 3, 8,120} of size four to investigate similar problems. Besides, some authors such as Kanagasabapathy and Ponnudurai [8], Brown [3] studied on the number of the solutions of simultaneous Pell equations. The other like Mohanty and Ramasamy [12], Gopalan [6] as well as Filipin, Fujita and Mignotte [5] introduced the concept of characteristic number of two simultaneous Pell's equations.

Moreover, Anglin [1] presented a method for solving a system of Pell's equations with the parameters in the boundry. Tzanakis [15] provided elliptic logarithm method using linear forms in elliptic logarithms. In [9], Katayama also partially described elliptic logarithm method for simultaneous Pell equations. Also, readers can look into [4, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14] references for more information about the P_t sets and Pell equations.

In this research paper, we will prove the sets $P_{-5} = \{1,6,9\}$, $P_{-5} = \{1,9,14\}$, $P_{+5} = \{1,4,11\}$, $P_{-7} = \{1,16,23\}$, $P_{-7} = \{1,16,176\}$, $P_{-7} = \{2,8,16\}$ and $P_{+7} = \{1,9,18\}$ can not be extended with size four P_{-5} , P_{+5} , P_{-7} and P_{+7} sets. Also, we will demonstrate some properties of such sets.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. ([14]) If $m \in N$ and $a \in Z$ with gcd(a, m) = 1, then a is said to be a quadratic residue modulo *m* if there exists an integer *x* such that

$$x^2 \equiv a \pmod{m} \tag{2.1}$$

and if equivalence has no such solution, then a is a quadratic nonresidue modulo n.

Definition 2.2. ([14]) If $a \in Z$ and p > 2 is prime, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p | a \\ 1, & \text{if } a \text{ is quadratic residue modp} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)



and $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is called the Legendre Symbol of *a* with respect to *p*.

The following is a fundamental result on quadratic residuacity modulo n. This term means the determination of whether n integer to be a quadratic residue or a non-residue modulo n.

Theorem 2.1. ([14]) If $p \neq q$ are odd primes, then

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(-1\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \tag{2.3}$$

where (:) represents Legendre symbol.

Theorem 2.2. ([14]) For any odd prime p,

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \equiv (-1)^{(p^2 - 1)/8} \pmod{p}$$
(2.4)

Theorem 2.3. ([14]) Let s > 1 be an integer, $c \in Z$ with gcd(c, s) = 1 and

$$s = 2^{a_0} \prod_{j=1}^m p_j^{a_j} \tag{2.5}$$

be the canonical prime factorization of s where $a_0 \ge 0$ and $a_j \in N$ for the distinct odd primes p_j , j = 1, 2, ..., m. Then

$$x^2 \equiv c \pmod{n} \tag{2.6}$$

is solvable if and only if

$$\left(a/p_j\right) = 1 \tag{2.7}$$

for all j = 1, 2, ..., m and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{(8, 2^{a_0})}$.

Theorem 2.4. ([14]) If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are odd and relatively primes, then

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = \left(-1\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2} \cdot \frac{n-1}{2}}$$
(2.8)

holds.

3. MAIN THEOREMS AND RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. The set $P_{-5} = \{1, 6, 9\}$ can not be extended to the set P_{-5} with size 4.

Proof. We assume that $P_{-5} = \{1, 6, 9\}$ can be extended for any positive integer *d*.

i.e, $\{1, 6, 9, d\}$ is a P₋₅ set. We can find x, y, z integers such that;

$$d - 5 = x^2 \tag{3.1}$$

$$6d - 5 = y^2$$
 (3.2)

$$9d - 5 = z^2$$
 (3.3)



by dropping d from (3.1) and (3.3) we obtain

$$z^2 - 9x^2 = 40 \tag{3.4}$$

In (3.4), we can write left side as difference of two squares since 9 is a perfect square (z - 3xz+3x=40. Also, it is clear that 40 can be factorized as finitely. So, integer solutions of (3.4) are obtained as following:

$$(x, z) = (\pm 3, \pm 11) \tag{3.5}$$

or

$$(x, z) = (\pm 1, \pm 7)$$
 (3.6)

Eliminating d from (3.1) and (3.2) simultaneously, then we obtain

$$y^2 - 6x^2 = 25 \tag{3.7}$$

Using the solutions of equation (3.5) and substituting $x^2 = 9$ into (3.7) we have $y^2 = 79$ which y is not an integer solution.

In a similar way, substituting (3.6) solutions ($x^2 = 1$) into the (3.7), we get $y^2 = 31$. This shows that y is not integer for the solution of (3.7). Thus, there is no a such $d \in Z$ and the set $P_{-5} = \{1, 6, 9\}$ can not be extended.

Theorem 3.2. The set $P_{-5} = \{1,9,14\}$ is nonextendible.

(

Proof. It can be proved in a similar way of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $P_{-5} = \{1,9,14\}$ can be extended for any positive integer *d*. It means that $\{1,9,14,d\}$ is a P_{-5} set. We can find *x*, *y*, *z* integers such that;

$$d - 5 = x^2 \tag{3.8}$$

$$9d - 5 = y^2$$
 (3.9)

$$14d - 5 = z^2 \tag{3.10}$$

by dropping d from (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain $y^2 - 9x^2 = 40$ which correspond to (3.4) equation. In the previous proof we solved this equation and obtained solutions as $(y, x) = (\pm 11, \pm 3)$ or $(y, x) = (\pm 7, \pm 1)$. Eliminating *d* from (3.8) and (3.10) simultaneously, then we get

$$z^2 - 14x^2 = 65 \tag{3.11}$$

Using $(y, x) = (\pm 11, \pm 3)$ solution and substituting $x^2 = 9$ into the (3.11), we obtain $z^2 = 191$ which z is not an integer.

In a similar way, considering $(y, x) = (\pm 7, \pm 1)$ solutions and substituting $x^2 = 1$ into



the (3.11), we get $z^2 = 79$. This shows that z is not integer. Thus, there is no such $d \in Z$ and the set $P_{-5} = \{1,9,14\}$ can not be extended.

Theorem 3.3. The set $P_{+5} = \{1,4,11\}$ is nonextendable to set P_{+5} with size four.

Proof. We assume that the set $\{1,4,11,d\}$ is a P_{+5} for any positive integer *d*. If we consider the definition of P_{+5} , then we have

$$d + 5 = x^2 \tag{3.12}$$

$$4d + 5 = y^2 \tag{3.13}$$

$$11d + 5 = z^2 \tag{3.14}$$

We have to find integers x, y, z, satisfying (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14). From (3.12) and (3.13) we get

$$4x^2 - y^2 = 15 \tag{3.15}$$

and from (3.12) and (3.14) we have

$$11x^2 - z^2 = 50 \tag{3.16}$$

By the same manner of the proof of above theorems and factorising (3.15) we get;

$$(2x - y)(2x + y) = 15 \tag{3.17}$$

If we get the solutions of equation (3.17), we obtain $(x,y)=(\pm 4, \pm 7)$ and $(x,y)=(\pm 2, \pm 1)$. If we substituting $x^2 = 16$ or $x^2 = 4$ into the (3.16) then we obtain $z^2 = 126$ which z is not an integer or $z^2 = -6$ which is impossible, consecutively. So, there is no any integer z satisfying the equation (3.16).

Hence, the set $P_{+5} = \{1,4,11\}$ is non-extendable.

Theorem 3.4. The set $P_{+7} = \{1,9,18\}$ can not extendible.

Proof. Suppose that the set $\{1,9,18,d\}$ is a P_{+7} for any positive integer *d*. Using the definition of set P_{+7} , then we obtain

$$d + 7 = x^2 \tag{3.18}$$

$$9d + 7 = y^2 \tag{3.19}$$

$$18d + 7 = z^2 \tag{3.20}$$

We have to find integers *x*, *y*, *z*, holding (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20). From (3.18) and (3.19) we get

$$9x^2 - y^2 = 56 \tag{3.21}$$

On The Some Particular Sets



and by using (3.19) and (3.20) we obtain

$$-z^2 + 2y^2 = 7 \tag{3.22}$$

By factorising (3.21) we have

$$(3x - y)(3x + y) = 56 \tag{3.23}$$

If we search the solutions of the (3.23), we get $(x, y) = (\pm 5, \pm 13)$ and $(x, y) = (\pm 3, \pm 5)$. If we substituting $y^2 = 169$ or $y^2 = 25$ into the (3.22), then we get $z^2 = 331$ or $z^2 = 43$ not integer solution of (3.22) consecutively.

Therefore, the set $P_{+7} = \{1,9,18\}$ is nonextendable.

Theorem 3.5. The set $P_{-7} = \{2, 8, 16\}$ is nonextendable.

Proof. We assume that the set $\{2,8,16,d\}$ is a P_{-7} for any positive integer *d*. By considering the definition of the set P_{-7} , then we get

$$2d - 7 = x^2 \tag{3.24}$$

$$8d - 7 = y^2 \tag{3.25}$$

$$16d - 7 = z^2 \tag{3.26}$$

We have to find integers x, y, z, satisfying above equations. From (3.24) and (3.25), we get

$$y^2 - 4x^2 = 21 \tag{3.27}$$

and by using (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain

$$z^2 - 2y^2 = 7 \tag{3.28}$$

Considering the factorization of (3.27), we have

$$(y - 2x)(y + 2x) = 21 \tag{3.29}$$

We obtain $(x,y)=(\pm 5,\pm 11)$ or $(x,y)=(\pm 1,\pm 5)$. If we substituting $y^2 = 121$ or $y^2 = 25$ into the (3.28), then we get $z^2 = 249$ or $z^2 = 57$ consecutively. So, there is no any integer *z* holding the (3.28) equation.

Hence, the set $P_{-7} = \{2, 8, 16\}$ is non-extendable.

Theorem 3.6. The sets $P_{-7} = \{1, 16, 23\}$ and $P_{-7} = \{1, 16, 176\}$ are nonextendable.

Proof. Let the set $\{1, 16, 23, d\}$ is a P_{-7} for any positive integer d. Then we have

$$d - 7 = x^2 \tag{3.30}$$

$$16d - 7 = y^2 \tag{3.31}$$

On The Some Particular Sets



Özer/ Kirklareli University Journal of Engineering and Science 2 (2016) 99-108

$$23d - 7 = z^2 \tag{3.32}$$

From (3.30) and (3.31) we obtain

$$y^2 - 16x^2 = 105 \tag{3.33}$$

and by using (3.30) and (3.32) we obtain

$$z^2 - 23x^2 = 154 \tag{3.34}$$

Using the factorization of (3.33) we get

$$(y - 4x)(y + 4x) = 105 \tag{3.35}$$

We get $(x, y) = (\pm 13, \pm 53)$, $(x, y) = (\pm 4, \pm 19)$, $(x, y) = (\pm 2, \pm 13)$ or $(x, y) = (\pm 1, \pm 11)$. If we substituting $x^2 = 169$ into the (3.34), then we get $z^2 = 4041$ which z isn't an integer holding the (3.34) equation. In the same manner, substituting $x^2 = 16$, $x^2 = 4$ or $x^2 = 1$ into the (3.34), we get $z^2 = 522$, $z^2 = 246$ or $z^2 = 177$ that z is not an integer holding the (3.34) equation either.

Therefore, the set $P_{-7} = \{1, 16, 23\}$ is non-extendable.

For the set $P_{-7} = \{1, 16, 176\}$, we have (3.30) and (3.31) with the equation

$$176d - 7 = z^2 \tag{3.36}$$

From (3.30) and (3.36), we have

$$z^2 - 176x^2 = 1225 \tag{3.37}$$

If we put the solutions of (3.33) into the (3.37), then we get $z^2 = 30969$, $z^2 = 4041$, $z^2 = 1929$ or $z^2 = 1401$ which z isn't an integer holding the (3.37). As a consequence, $P_{-7} = \{1,16,176\}$ can not extendible.

Theorem 3.7. There is no set P_{-5} includes any multiple of 4, 11 or 17.

Proof. (i) Suppose that *m* is an element of set P_{-5} . If 4r is also an element of set P_{-5} for $r \in Z$, then

$$4rm - 5 = a^2 \tag{3.38}$$

has to satisfy for integer a. If we apply (modulo 4) into the (3.38), we have

$$a^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \tag{3.39}$$

If a is even integer, then $a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ holds. If a is odd integer, then $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ holds. So, there is no an integer satisfying $a^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

On The Some Particular Sets



Hence, there is no set P_{-5} includes any multiple of 4.

(ii) Assume that *m* is an element of set P_{-5} . If 11s is also an element of set P_{-5} for $s \in Z$, then

$$11sm - 5 = b^2 \tag{3.40}$$

has to satisfy for integer b. If we apply (modulo 11) on the (3.40), we get

$$b^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{11} \tag{3.41}$$

Using Theorem 2.2. and the Definition 2.2 or considering residue classes (modulo 11), we obtain $b^2 \equiv 1,3,4,5,9 \pmod{11}$ which not satisfies $b^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{11}$. (It means that there is no any *b* integer holding (3.41)). This is a contradiction. So, there is no P_{-5} set contains any multiple of 11.

(iii) Similarly, if we suppose that m is an element of set P_{-5} and 17k is also an element of set P_{-5} for $k \in Z$, then we have,

$$c^2 \equiv 12 \pmod{17}$$
 (3.42)

Using residue classes (modulo 17), we have $c^2 \equiv 1,2,4,8,9,13,15 \pmod{17}$ which implies that there is no integer holding $c^2 \equiv 12 \pmod{17}$. This is a contradiction. As a consequence, there is no set P_{-5} involves any multiple of 17.

Theorem 3.8. There is no set P_{+5} contains any multiple of 3,7 or 13.

Proof. (i)Assume that *n* is an element of set P_{+5} . If 3u is also an element of set P_{+5} for $u \in Z$, then

$$3un + 5 = A^2$$
 (3.43)

has to satisfy for some integer A. If we apply (modulo 3) on the (3.43), we obtain

$$A^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \tag{3.44}$$

By using Theorem 2.2 and the Definition 2.2, we have

$$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}(3^2-1)} = -1$$
 (3.45)

since 3 is odd prime number. This means that equation (3.44) is unsolvable, i.e. 2 is non quadratic residue (mod 3). This is a contradiction.

Therefore, 3u can not be an element of P_{+5} for $u \in Z$.



(ii) In a similar manner, suppose that r is an element of set P_{+5} and 7t, $(t \in Z)$ is also an element of set P_{+5} then

$$7tr + 5 = B^2 \tag{3.46}$$

has to satisfy for integer B. Applying (modulo 7) of both sides, we get

$$B^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{7} \tag{3.47}$$

We have to calculate the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{5}{7}\right)$ by using Theorem 2.1 and Definition 2.2. From Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{5}{7}\right)\left(\frac{7}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{5-1}{2} \cdot \frac{7-1}{2}} = +1$$
 (3.48)

since 5 and 7 are odd primes. By substituting $\left(\frac{7}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1$ into the (3. 48) then we have $\left(\frac{5}{7}\right) = -1$ which means that equation (3.47) is unsolvable. So 7t can not be an element of P_{+5} for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) Similarly, suppose that r is an element of set P_{+5} , If $13n, (n \in Z)$ is also an element of set P_{+5} then

$$13nr + 5 = C^2 \tag{3.49}$$

has to satisfy for integer C. Applying (modulo 13) of both sides, we have

$$C^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{13} \tag{3.50}$$

By using Theorem 2.1 and Definition 2.2, then we obtain

$$\left(\frac{5}{13}\right)\left(\frac{13}{5}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{5-1}{2} \cdot \frac{13-1}{2}} = +1 \tag{3.51}$$

since 5 and 13 are odd primes. By substituting $\left(\frac{13}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1$ into the (3.51) then we have $\left(\frac{5}{13}\right) = -1$ which implies that equation (3.50) is unsolvable. So 13*n* can not be an element of P_{+5} for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.9. We can prove that there is no set P_{+7} contains any positive multiple of 4,5 or 11 and there is no set P_{-7} contains any positive multiple of 6,13 or 17 by using the similar way of the proof of the Theorem 3.7 or Theorem 3.8.



REFERENCES

[1] Anglin W.S., The queen of mathematics-An introduction to number theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.

[2] Baker A., Davenport H., 1969, The equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford(2), 20, 129-137, 1969.

[3] Brown LE., Sets in Which xy + k is Always a Square, Math. Comp, 45, 613-620, 1985.

[4] Dickson LE., History of Theory of Numbers and Diophantine Analysis, Vol 2, Dove Publications, New York, 2005.

[5] Filipin L A., Fujita Y., M. Mignotte, The non-extendibility of some parametric families of D(-1)-triples, Q. J. Math. 63, 605-621, 2012.

[6] Gopalan M. A., Vidhyalakshmi S., Mallika S., Some special non-extendable Diophantine triples, Sch. J. Eng. Tech. 2, 159-160, 2014.

[7] Grinstead C.M., On a Method of Solving a Class of Diophantine Equations . Math. Comp., 32, 936-940, 1978.

[8] Kanagasabapathy P., Ponnudurai T., The Simultaneous Diophantine Equations $y^2 - 3x^2 =$

-2 and $z^2 - 8x^2 = -7$, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford Ser (3), 26, 275-278, 1975.

[9] Katayama S., Several methods for solving simultaneous Fermat-Pell equations, J. Math. Tokushima Univ., 33 , 1–14, 1999.

[10] Kaygısız K., Şenay H., Contructions of Some New Nonextandable P_k Sets, International Mathematical Forum, 2, no. 58, 2869 – 2874, 2007.

[11] Masser D.W., Rickert J.H., Simultaneous Pell Equations ,Number Theory , 61, 52-66, 1996.

[12] Mohanty P., Ramasamy A.M.S., The Simultaneous Diophantine Equations $5y^2 - 20 =$

 x^2 , $2y^2 + 1 = z^2$, J.Number Theory. 18, 365-359, 1984.

[13] Mordell LJ., Diophantine Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[14] Mollin R.A., Fundamental Number theory with Applications, CRC Press, 2008.

[15] Tzanakis N., Effective solution of two simultaneous Pell equations by the elliptic logarithm method, Acta Arithm., 103, 119–135, 2002.